library neutrality is not only impossible, but even dangerous, as it implicitly supports the status quo.

While other works, such as Revolting Librarians Redux: Radical Librarians Speak Out, edited by K. R. Roberto and Jessamyn West (McFarland & Co, 2003), provide critical looks at the political and social construction of libraries and librarianship, Questioning Library Neutrality is unique in its focus on the dangers of professional neutrality at a time when intellectual freedom is endangered by the government and by social, racial, and economic inequalities that dominate our national dialogue. This work challenges all librarians to interrogate their own beliefs and practices and begin to negotiate a critical relationship with some of the concepts they take for granted. It is highly recommended for professional development collections in all libraries and especially for academic libraries supporting Library and Information Science programs.—Sarah VanGundy, Reference and Instruction Librarian, SUNY Purchase College, Purchase, New York


Meant for and written by both practitioners and researchers in the field of readers’ advisory, the latest title in the ALA Readers’ Advisory Series offers essays by practicing librarians, each accompanied by an annotated literature review compiled by Moyer. She offers this work as an opportunity for librarians doing readers’ advisory work to share techniques and tools within the framework of research and study.

Mentioning a “better blending of theory and practice,” Barry Trott and Joyce Saricks urge us to become “reflective practitioners.” Their chapter provides a thorough past, present, and future discussion covering all aspects of readers’ advisory service and includes an apt quotation from librarian Samuel Swet Green in 1876: “The more freely a librarian mingles with readers, and the greater the amount of assistance he renders them, the more intense does the conviction of citizens, also, become, that the library is a useful institution, and the more willing do they grow to grant money in larger and larger sums to be used in buying books and employing additional assistants.” (Library Journal). Plus ça change!

Writings and collections by Chelton, Ross, Shearer, Saricks, Smith, and Wyatt dominate the readers’ advisory canon that Moyer cites and annotates before each of the ten “Librarian’s View” essays written by knowledgeable working librarians. Most of the essays focus on the usual topics: audience (adult reader, children, teens); content and format (nonfiction, genre fiction, audio, and visual); and tools (conducting the readers’ advisory interview and using readers’ advisory resources). Mary Wilkes Towner, of the Urbana (Illinois) Free Library, has written a very useful chapter titled “Tools for Readers’ Advisors.” Her list of online tools has since been reprinted by Moyer in the July 2008 issue of Booklist. David Wright’s “Zen and the Art of the Readers’ Advisory Interview” is illuminating and refreshing.

Moyer also includes chapters on book groups, cataloging and classification, and collection development. (Weeding as readers’ advisory tool? Of course!) By adding these chapters, Moyer has placed readers’ advisory at the center of public library practice and has made a good case for its position there. Readers’ advisory is also outreach, marketing and public relations are at its core. Moyer and Hollands use the last chapter as an opportunity to respond to Juris Dilevko and Candice F. C. Magown’s Readers’ Advisory Service in North American Public Libraries, 1870-2005: A History and Critical Analysis (McFarland, 2007) in a section entitled “False History, False Future.” Among other thoughtful and evocative comments here is the suggestion that readers’ advisory is at a crossroads, either heading “down a darker path” where we “waver and dwindle” into a fad or a surge, or toward a future as a “cornerstone of the practice of librarianship.” Given the current public library fixation on the “bookstore model,” “dumping Dewey,” and aggressive customer service, educated and committed readers’ advisors are essential. They will benefit greatly from this rich collection.—Katharine Phenix, Adult Services Librarian, Rangeview Public Library, Northglenn, Colorado.


[M]any gay kids find school libraries to be safe havens and still go there to find resources even though information is so widely available elsewhere. (Debra Lau Whelan, “Out and Ignored,” School Library Journal, January, 2006).

While radical militant librarians kick us around, true terrorists benefit from [Office of Intelligence Policy and Review’s] failure to let us use the tools given to us . . . . This should be an OIPR priority!!! (E-mail written by an unidentified FBI official, quoted by Eric Lichtblau, “At F .B.I., Frustration Over Limits on an Antiterror Law,” New York Times, December 11, 2005).

In our current climate, we cannot depend on our government, corporations, or even our neighbors to protect or respect our privacy. But as Jeannette Woodward shows, librarians have long been keepers of their patrons’ privacy, even to the point of public scorn or job loss. Consequently, as the statements above show, our most vulnerable patrons have learned to trust us with their inquiries, while some powerful agencies see us as a particularly annoying deterrent to their dubious agendas.

Our reputation for being safe havens for intellectual freedom was earned the hard way: through ever-vigilant librarians willing to oppose authority and even lose their jobs in defense of the First Amendment. However, when it comes to technology, librarians have tended to focus on protecting computers over computer users. In What Every Librarian Should Know about Electronic Privacy, Woodward reminds us that now is not the time to rest on our laurels, and she provides us with some of the tools and information we need to