The entries are alphabetical, ranging in length from a half-page for lesser personalities to several pages for entries on topics such as judges, the Qur’an, or political theory. A great deal of thought seems to have gone into the many cross references, and while illustrations are not plentiful, those included are useful. Each volume includes a list of entries arranged both alphabetically and thematically, and the introduction appears in both volumes. The index is extensive and extremely thorough, coming in at fifty-five pages in length. Index topics that have their own entries are italicized, while others have any page numbers listed in which that topic is mentioned. This extensive index should prove valuable to those searching for subjects that are not given their own entry in this work.

The user most likely to make use of this title is the generalist, one whose grasp of the topic is limited and to whom the articles are clearly targeted. For those cases where these entries may be insufficient, or in the case of someone who would like to read further on a given topic, the editors have provided helpful tools. Most entries include extensive “Further Reading” lists, and many include “Studies” lists as well. For those topics where the author has culled the entry from others’ research, a list of primary sources is included.

While those familiar with Islamic studies may find little need for this reference work, Medieval Islamic Civilization will be useful for the general library patron. This work would best be utilized by students in secondary school or college libraries, and by patrons at medium- and large-sized public libraries. This is a well-rounded, well-written set that offers a good starting point for library patrons. —Craig Shufelt, Director, Fort McMurray Public Library, Alberta, Canada


The best reference books fulfill a unique and necessary purpose, giving good value for the dollar. Margaret Drabble’s The Oxford Companion to English Literature (Oxford Univ. Pr., 2000) is a companionable, comprehensive, and inexpensive single volume. Ian Scott-Kilvert’s British Writers (Scribner, 1984) is magisterial, exploring the traditional and evolving literary canon in lengthy essays on individual authors. Although expensive, the work effectively manages the subject in a core set of volumes with supplements. The Oxford Encyclopedia of British Literature can be compared to these and to other established reference titles in English literature.

In approximately 500 signed essays, The Oxford Encyclopedia of British Literature attempts to reflect the “great range and depth” of the literature of the UK and the Republic of Ireland since Anglo-Saxon times. The set’s organizers observe that British literature “still remains at the heart of the commitment to the humanities in English-speaking countries, not least the United States” (xi). Questions about depth, completeness, and balance naturally arise, and the preface is quick to mention that topic selections “no doubt reflect” the organizers’ own “knowledge, interests, and judgments” (xi). Unlike Drabble’s The Oxford Companion to English Literature,
Parts of the encyclopedia’s organization are problematic, as are some selection decisions. Instead of a systematic outline of contents, there is a sketchy “Topical Entries” list. Drabble’s book remains more complete in a number of areas, such as contemporary genres and eighteenth-century literature. Essays that might have enhanced the encyclopedia’s contemporary coverage are spy fiction and gay and lesbian literature. Interestingly, there is no article for the eighteenth-century historian Thomas Warton, whom the preface describes as the person who launched the first serious (but failed) attempt at a comprehensive history of English literature.

There are four hundred articles about authors, providing medium-length treatments (most are three to five pages) and lightly annotated bibliographies of primary and secondary sources. These essays are modeled after the well-known British Council model, used by British Writers, meaning that they are fluent, concrete, and illustrative of an author’s style, themes, and literary devices. However, the encyclopedia’s essays are a quarter to a third of the length of their more generous prototype. British Writers and the Dictionary of Literary Biography (Thomson Gale, ongoing) remain the best choices for longer treatments, as they are more likely to give students the perspective they want on individual works.

Although The Oxford Encyclopedia of British Literature provides some valuable core articles, such as “The Canon,” “Literature,” and “Literary Theory,” it does not exceed the established sources in coverage of topics. More useful as a supplemental than an essential purchase, The Oxford Encyclopedia of British Literature should be considered by medium-to-large academic and large public libraries, especially if they maintain comprehensive collections in literature. Smaller libraries seeking solid, medium-length articles on major authors and a sampling of many topics might also weigh this title. This set works best to enrich the information contained in other Oxford Press literary titles, especially The Oxford Companion to English Literature. Ideally, libraries offering the Oxford Reference Online: Premium Collection might add the Literature Collection, so that the encyclopedia’s insights reach more users.—Nevin J. Mayer, Coordinator of Instruction, John Carroll University, University Heights, Ohio


Philosophy of science “emerged as a recognizable subdivision within philosophy only in the twentieth century” (xi), beginning with the Vienna Circle. It engaged many of the most prominent philosophers of that century, including Whitehead, Russell, Popper, Hempel, Carnap, and Kuhn. The entangled histories of philosophical and scientific inquiry are outside the main focus of The Philosophy of Science: An Encyclopedia, as are considerations of science by other philosophical schools. The selection of topics and persons treated in the 130 articles is further narrowed by the exclusion of the philosophy of mathematics, all scientists “no matter what the extent of their philosophical influence,” and most philosophers within the tradition whose work is not “distant enough to allow ‘historical’ appraisal” (xi). Yet given the importance, range, and sheer ambition of philosophy of science in the twentieth century, this careful definition does not result in anything that could be called a narrow topic.

The Philosophy of Science: An Encyclopedia serves a purpose comparable to existing encyclopedias and companions dedicated to specific schools, eras, or topics in philosophy. Researchers primarily interested in the philosophy of science will find it far superior to the general encyclopedias of philosophy currently available in print or online. It is considerably more thorough even than Routledge’s own general encyclopedia, which devotes an entire volume to roughly the same topic (Philosophy of Science, Logic, and Mathematics in the 20th Century, vol. 9 of Routledge History of Philosophy [Routledge, 1996]).

The editors and most of the one hundred or so contributors are professors of philosophy, and the articles are targeted to graduate and higher-level undergraduate philosophy students. The articles are of consistently high quality and cover the major conceptual developments and thinkers in the philosophy of science tradition, as well as the scientific methods and theories that they have engaged. Philosophy students will be grateful that the articles on scientific topics such as “Kinetic Theory” and “Molecular Biology” include explanations of key concepts such as Avogadro’s Number and DNA. Unfortunately, no corresponding accommodation is made for science students who may not be familiar with symbolic logic or the major developments in the history of philosophy.

Indeed, the major weakness of The Philosophy of Science: An Encyclopedia is that it makes few concessions to those who do not already have a general understanding of its topic. It would have been a kindness to these outsiders to add “twentieth century” to the title, which is sure to mislead students who come across it in the catalog while looking for information on, say, Aristotle’s biology. Apart from alphabetical and topical listings of the entries, there are no special finding aids, charts, or timelines that might be expected in a specialized encyclopedia. This lack is offset somewhat by an excellent introductory essay and a thorough and well-constructed index. The index, however, would have benefited greatly from the use of bold lettering or one of the other conventions to differentiate sustained discussions from the many, many passing references to persons and subjects.

Because of its strengths, The Philosophy of Science: An Encyclopedia is strongly recommended for academic libraries that support philosophy programs teaching philosophy of science or emphasizing twentieth-century Anglo-American philosophy.—Alistair Morrison, Product Manager for LexisNexis Academic, Bethesda, Maryland, and MLS Candidate at the University of Maryland, College Park