Industry Dynamics and Trends

The library technology industry is a complex set of organizations with the common characteristic of offering technology products and services to libraries. This group includes an interesting mix of organizations, ranging from large multinational businesses to small companies offering more specialized products, and includes some nonprofit organizations. The many organizations represented and their associated business dynamics cannot be boiled down into a few simplistic trends. Rather, there are many layers, subsectors, and special circumstances that coexist within the broad trends that dominate news headlines. Industry consolidation stands out as the key trend. But it is also important to see consolidation in the context of other threads of activity.

Bringing Together a Fragmented Industry

Prior to the last decade, the library technology industry would be considered a fragmented business environment. Many small and midsize companies, each with limited capacities for product development, competed within a limited market. This period was characterized by a large selection of products, but with little differentiation in functional capabilities. The functional model of the integrated library system was well established, with specific expectations for each module. To maintain a position in the market, each ILS product had to meet a detailed checklist of requirements that were specified in detail in procurement documents. The rise and fall of ILS products were largely driven by cycles in technology. Products created for mainframes were replaced by others following a client/server architecture, which in turn were replaced by or evolved into web-based systems. Products built for operating environments that became obsolete, such as VAX/VMS or Pick, eventually gave way to those based on Unix or Windows.

The integrated library system was the mainstay of the library technology industry through about 2010. The business overhead involved with multiple companies producing very similar products proved difficult to sustain. Mergers or acquisitions among direct competitors narrowed the number of companies in the industry. The number of products available was reduced at a much slower pace. While business advantages were gained by combining companies, maintaining products was essential to minimize disruption to the libraries involved and to retain the customers of the acquired entity.

During this phase of the industry, many commercial and library-based projects offered ILS products offering similar functionality. Some of these products were

- Dynix Systems
- OCLC Local Systems Division: LS/2000
- Data Phase ALIS I
- Avitar
- NOTIS
- Sirsi Corporation Unicorn
- INNOPAC/Millennium/Sierra: Innovative Interfaces
- Gaylord: Galaxy, Polaris
- Atlas: Data Research Associates
- MultiLIS:
- INLEX
- Data Trek
- EOS International
- TINLIB IME Systems
- CARL
- The Library Corporation: BiblioFile, Library. Solution
- BASIS plus: Information Dimensions
- Aleph: Ex Libris
- Voyager: Endeavor Information Systems
- Horizon: Dynix
- VTLS Virtua
- Winnebago Spectrum
- Athena: Nichols Advanced Technology
- InfoCentre: Sagebrush Corporation

International products included
• Bibliotheca BOND
• HKA BicatWise
• Amlib
• OLIB
• Sunrise: Sisis

During this phase of the industry, the companies developing and supporting each of these ILS products were generally smaller than the consolidated companies active today. The development capacity of a vendor can be measured by the number of personnel allocated to product development. The vendor survey for the “Library Systems Report” requests this data. Not all vendors supply these statistics, and data is not available prior to 2002. The available data for 2005 provides a glimpse of the size of some of the companies active at that time and their relative capacity for product development. Some of these companies no longer exist as separate entities because they were absorbed through corporate mergers.

Table 2.1 shows a selection of the personnel statistics from some of the larger companies active in 2005. SirsiDynix, immediately following the merger of Sirsi Corporation and Dynix, ranked as the largest, with 679 total personnel. As the two antecedent companies integrated, the company slimmed down to 380 in 2011, with 84 dedicated to development. Overall, the largest of these companies would be considered midsize in today’s environment.

For comparison, table 2.2 presents the 2019 personnel statistics for a selection of companies. These statistics reveal the scale of the larger organizations and their relative development capacity. Between 2005 and 2019, Ex Libris expanded its overall personnel to 980 and its development group to 283. While sheer numbers do not guarantee quality or quantity of product development, these numbers are consistent with the ambitious development agenda that has produced some of the major products implemented in the academic library sector.

It is also interesting to note some of the statistics for companies that have not been involved in mergers and acquisitions. The Library Corporation was at its peak in 2005 with a total of 210 personnel employed; that number has since diminished to 117, with 45 devoted to development. Auto-Graphics has contracted somewhat from the 32 employed in 2005 to 28 today, with 5 devoted to product development. Book Systems has expanded a bit from 53 in 2005 to 68 today.

These personnel statistics over time suggest that outside of involvement with mergers, companies may struggle to hold their ground. Even if they maintain their size and capacity, they are increasingly dwarfed as new powerhouse companies are assembled. The demands of product development through new generations of technology and in response to changing realities of libraries may exceed the modest capabilities of small and midsize companies. Some may be able to hold on to niche markets, but there are no examples of small companies entering the market and gaining a dominant position in the absence of business acquisitions.

The Pervasive Pattern of Consolidation

Throughout the recent history of the library technology industry, there has been a continual series of business transactions. While some were simple changes in ownership, most resulted in the contraction of the number of vendors in the field through business acquisitions. Though some of these events were positioned as mergers among equals, there are no examples in the library technology industry where both

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Development</th>
<th>Support</th>
<th>Sales</th>
<th>Admin</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Endeavor Information Systems</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SirsiDynix</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovative Interfaces, Inc.</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td>295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex Libris</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOS International</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follett</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Library Corporation</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto-Graphics, Inc.</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Book Systems</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
incumbent owners retained a long-term ownership stake in the combined organization.

These rounds of acquisitions resulted in a concentration of strategic library technology products within the portfolios of a small set of large-scale companies. ProQuest, Follett, and EBSCO Information Services have acquired or created core technology products as part of their broader offerings of products and services for libraries and related organizations. Each of these companies has annual revenues approaching or beyond a billion dollars.

A set of organizational charts provide a pictorial history of the development of these companies (figures 2.1–2.5, 2.8). These visualizations were developed by the author, published on Library Technology Guides, and are updated as additional events transpire. Detailed accounts of major events since about 2005 have been documented in Smart Libraries Newsletter and the annual “Library Systems Report.”

**ProQuest**

ProQuest has emerged as the largest provider of technology products and services for academic and research libraries, which complements the database and other content products that were its traditional mainstay.

The ownership arrangements for ProQuest are complex. ProQuest is part of Cambridge Information Group, which is owned by the family of Robert N. Snyder. In addition to CIG, ProQuest has minority ownership by other major investors. From 2007 through 2013, ABRY Partners was a major investor. Goldman Sachs acquired the share held by ABRY in 2013. In 2019, Atairos made a major investment in ProQuest, gaining the largest minority stake in the company after CIG. At that time Goldman Sachs drew down its investment, though it retained a residual stake in the company.

Multiple threads of business activities transpired to shape ProQuest in its current form as one of the largest companies serving libraries. ProQuest has a lengthy corporate history, tracing its roots to University Microfilms International, established in 1938 by Eugene B. Power. The company became a major competitor in the library content sphere through multiple business events, including its acquisition by Bell and Howell and subsequent acquisition of other information companies such as Chadwyck-Healy, Micromedia, and SIRS Publishing. The company came more into its current form in 2007 when it was acquired by Cambridge Information Group, which at that time included Cambridge Scientific Abstracts and Bowker. Subsequent content acquisitions included Dialog (2008), Ebrary (2011), Electronic Book Library (2013), and Alexander Street (2016).

ProQuest expanded into the library technology sector through another set of events. This chain of activity began with its acquisition in 2004 of Serials Solutions, a rising star in the electronic resource management sector that was founded in 2000 with a set of products based on a well-regarded knowledge base of e-journal holdings. Under the leadership of Jane Burke, with previous executive roles for CLSI, Endeavor Information Systems and NOTIS Systems, Serials Solutions produced a successful line of workflow products for electronic resource management, and in 2009 it launched Summon as the first index-based discovery service able to address article-level content spanning almost all scholarly resources. Serials Solutions eventually morphed into the Workflow Solutions division of ProQuest. Other technology and workflow products acquired included AquaBrowser Library in 2007 and WebFeat federated search solution in 2008.

---

**Table 2.2**

2019 vendor personnel statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Development</th>
<th>Support</th>
<th>Sales</th>
<th>Admin</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Follett</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1,054</td>
<td>1,758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex Libris</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>980</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SirsiDynix</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Library Corporation</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto-Graphics, Inc.</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Book Systems</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

For information on ProQuest’s expansion, see
In 2011, ProQuest, via its Serials Solutions division, launched a development initiative to create a library services platform, initially named its Web-Scale Management Solution and later branded as Intota. This project saw progress, though not on pace with Ex Libris Alma and OCLC WorldShare Management Services. Intota Assessment, the initial module of the platform, was released in 2013 with the involvement of a group of development partner libraries. Development of the full Intota platform continued through 2015, when ProQuest acquired Ex Libris. At that time further development of Intota halted, though libraries that had implemented Intota Assessment or other components of the platform continued to receive support.

The acquisition of Ex Libris by ProQuest propelled the company into the leading position as a technology provider to academic and research libraries. Ex Libris had consistently grown through an ambitious product development agenda and business acquisitions supported through multiple rounds of private equity investment. Emerging as a technology spin-off of Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Ex Libris saw considerable success marketing its Aleph ILS to libraries in multiple global regions. Ex Libris acquired the German DABIS system in 1997. Ex Libris increased its market share substantially in 2006 through the acquisition of the Voyager ILS from Elsevier. Its 2019 acquisition of RapidILL from Colorado State University strengthened its position in the resource sharing sector.

Ex Libris has seen multiple rounds of ownership and investment. Following its initial ownership by its founding university and a set of venture capital investors, the company has seen four successive acquisition transactions: Francisco Partners (June 2006), Leeds Equity (2008), Golden Gate Capital (2012), and ProQuest (2015). Through each of these investments the company substantially increased its valuation and created or acquired new products. Private equity investors usually hold their portfolio companies for a limited time. When large companies such as ProQuest make strategic acquisitions, those acquired companies become permanent extensions of their organization. Ex Libris has become well integrated into ProQuest. Matti Shem Tov, the former CEO of Ex Libris, became president and CEO of ProQuest in mid-2017, and Oren Beit-Arie, chief strategy officer of Ex Libris, was named to a similar position for ProQuest in June 2018.

Following the investment of Atairos in July 2019, ProQuest further expanded its involvement in the library technology arena with the acquisition of Innovative Interfaces in a transaction that closed in January 2020. Innovative had previously acquired Polaris

---

**Figure 2.1**

Development of ProQuest
EBSCO Information Services

EBSCO Information Services, with annual revenue exceeding $2 billion,\(^1\) ranks as a much larger company than ProQuest, though it has had less involvement with the library technology industry. The company has primarily based its business on subject databases and other content products and emerged as the leading provider of services for managing library journal subscriptions. With the acquisition of YBP Library Services, EBSCO also offers GOBI, the leading acquisition platform for books and other academic content. EBSCO’s NoveList service provides reading recommendations and enhanced content that can be integrated into library catalogs.

EBSCO has not been directly involved in the ILS business, though it is an important force in the discovery and electronic resource management arena. EBSCO Discovery Service competes with OCLC WorldCat Discovery Service and Ex Libris’s Primo and Summon. Based on the EBSCOhost platform, EDS also extends access to the broader realm of scholarly materials beyond those covered in EBSCO subject database products. Reliable market share data is not available among the index-based discovery service products because the vendors report installations according to substantially different measures. We estimate, however, that EDS has far more installations than the other products in this category. EBSCO has worked with the vendors of over sixty ILS vendors to integrate EDS search results into their library catalogs or to enable EDS to serve as the catalog for the ILS.\(^2\)

In addition to EDS, EBSCO offers products related to electronic resource management and access, including its FullText Finder and Holdings and Link Management based on the EBSCO Knowledge Base. EBSCO also distributes and supports the OpenAthens authentication service. In February 2019, the company acquired the Stacks web portal management platform for libraries. Its acquisition of Zepheira in February 2020 brought the foremost consultancy on linked data in the library arena into its fold. EBSCO has also made partnerships and investments in the area of open science, including with Code Ocean and Protocols.io.

EBSCO has entered the library services platform arena through its leadership and support for the open source FOLIO initiative. Along with other stakeholders, FOLIO aims to provide an alternative to Alma and WorldShare Management Services. Following a four-year development effort, the first library placed FOLIO into production in October 2019, with additional sites coming live in 2020.

FOLIO represents an important new competitive element in the academic library arena. In the future, academic libraries looking for new systems will include FOLIO in their considerations, expanding the viable options. Figure 2.2 shows EBSCO’s development over time.

**Follett**

Follett School Solutions specializes in educational technologies in the PreK–12 school sector, with Destiny as its offering for school libraries and districts. A family-owned business with annual revenues exceeding $3.6 billion, the company operates a diversified array of business activities. It provides a major marketplace platform for schools to acquire educational content, it is a major supplier of textbooks, and it has many offerings in the broader educational content arena. Its Aspen student management system competes in the school district administrative applications sector.

In April 2016 Follett Corporation acquired Baker & Taylor, a major book distributor to libraries with a company valuation over $1 billion.

Compared to its other business activities, Follett’s involvement in library technology represents a small portion of its revenue. That said, Follett School Solutions holds the largest market share in the US K–12 school library automation sector by far. Its Destiny ILS serves over 75,000 schools. Other than the libraries associated with international schools, almost all Destiny installations are in the United States. Although Follett created Destiny specifically for school libraries and districts, it also finds some use in small public and very small academic libraries.

Follett has been involved with ILS products for school libraries since the introduction of its Circulation Plus product in 1983. The company expanded in this sector through its 2006 acquisition of Sagebrush Technologies, which had previously acquired Winnebago Software Company and Nichols Advanced Technologies. Sagebrush had also created its own InfoCentre ILS for school libraries, which was released in 2005, but InfoCentre’s development was discontinued shortly after Sagebrush’s acquisition the following year. Figure 2.3 shows Follett’s development over time.

**OCLC**

The nonprofit OCLC has a complex history of business acquisitions. Established in 1967 as a shared cataloging utility, the organization has expanded its service offerings into many different library-focused areas.
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Other database acquired:
- Linguistics Abstracts Online (2019)
- British Education Index (2012)
- RLG Databases (2012)
- PEMSoft (2012)
- Ergonomics Abstracts (2011)
- SAGE Criminal Abstracts (2010)
- OCLC FirstSearch Databases (2010)
- World Textiles (2009)
- Salem Press (2009)
- America History and Life (2007)
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With annual revenues of around $220 million, OCLC is a midsize organization relative to ProQuest, EBSCO Information Services, and Follett.

The acquisitions made by OCLC can be grouped into several threads. Several other nonprofit cooperative or service organizations have merged into OCLC, including WLN in 1999, CAPCON in 2003, 24/7 Reference in 2004, and Research Libraries Group in 2006. Some for-profit bibliographic services were acquired by OCLC, including Blackwell’s B/NA Authority Service in 1997 and LTS Library Technical Services in 2000. It acquired the PICA cooperative based in the Netherlands and serving European libraries through a phased acquisition completed in 2007. PICA, now the basis of OCLC’s EMEA operations, operates on a for-profit model because its services do not qualify as a charitable organization within European jurisdictions. While OCLC as a whole is organized as a US-based nonprofit, its structure also includes for-profit entities.

OCLC’s involvement in the library technology industry is likewise complex and can be considered in three distinct phases. Beginning in about 1982, OCLC created a new Local Systems division within its organizations to market and develop library automation systems. OCLC acquired marketing rights to Total Library System from Claremont Colleges. It began a development project to create its own integrated library system named Local Library System (LLS). Development of LLS was discontinued in favor of enhancing a product named ILS initially developed jointly by the Lister Hill Center and Online Computer Systems, Inc. OCLC further enhanced the software, branded the product as LS 2000. OCLC marketed and supported LS 2000 beginning in 1983. Additional acquisitions made during this period included DataPhase and its ALIS I and ALIS II systems. OCLC ended this chapter of involvement in the library systems arena in 1990 when it divested its Local Systems division to Ameritech Information Systems.

A second round of involvement began in 1993 with OCLC’s acquisition of Information Dimensions and its BASISplus document management technology, as well as the TechLIB ILS from Battelle Memorial Institute. These products were primarily oriented to the corporate library sector. OCLC sold Information Dimensions to Goeres Technology Group in 1997 as an interim arrangement until Information Dimensions was acquired by Open Text in 1998.

OCLC began its third and ongoing phase of activity in the library technology industry in about 2000. That year OCLC began a phased acquisition of PICA, which had developed the CBS automation system for large-scale consortial automation and bibliographic services and LBS as an integrated library system for individual libraries. Beginning in 2005 OCLC began acquiring other companies offering integrated library systems and related products, including in 2005 SirsiDynix Informationssysteme and its Sunrise ILS used in Germany, Switzerland, and the Netherlands; Fretwell-Downing Informatics in 2005 with its OLIB ILS; Openly Informatics in 2006 for its linking technology and knowledge base; DiMeMa and the CONTENTdm image management system in 2006; EZproxy in 2008 from Useful Utilities; AMLIB from InfoVision Technologies, which is based in Australia, in 2008; BOND GmbH in 2011 with its BibliothecaPLUS ILS used mostly in Germany, Switzerland, and Austria; and Huijsmans en Kuijpers Automatisering (HKA) and its bicatWise ILS used by most public libraries in the Netherlands. In the resource-sharing sector, OCLC acquired Relais International and its D2D product in 2018. OCLC continues to support and perform needed development on each of these acquired products.

Another thread of activity by OCLC in the library technology sector comes through its own development efforts. Beginning in about 2009, OCLC began the development of a new library services platform aligned with its massive WorldCat bibliographic database; it was launched in 2011 as WorldShare Management Services. Initially offered to all types of libraries, WMS has become one of the main competitors for academic and national libraries.

In 2018, OCLC began a major new effort to extend the bicatWise ILS acquired from HKA and position it as its strategic offering for public libraries in the United States and internationally, branded as OCLC Wise. With Wise’s built-in patron engagement services, OCLC markets it as a new type of product of interest to public libraries beyond the traditional ILS model.

While maintaining a long list of legacy ILS products used primarily in Europe, OCLC is an important competitor in the library technology industry for new product selections. WorldShare Management Services has garnered a respectable market share of the academic library sector, and its Wise product is a new contender for US public libraries. Figure 2.4 shows OCLC’s development over time.

SirsiDynix: Recovery from a Rough Business Transition

One of the prime directives related to mergers and acquisitions in the library technology industry has been to avoid abrupt disruptions of the strategic products currently in use. Events following the merger that formed SirsiDynix and its acquisition by Vista Equity Partners invoked a harsh product strategy that was not well received by its customer base, led to many customer defections, and damaged the reputation of the company. The events related to the acquisition of SirsiDynix serve as a case study that shows other vendors how not to achieve success in the library technology industry.
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Development of SirsiDynix
Prior to 2005 Sirsi Corporation and Dynix were independent companies, each with its own product strategies. Each held a substantial market share in public and academic library sectors with some involvement in special and school libraries. The Unicorn ILS was the Sirsi Corporation’s flagship product. Introduced in 1982, Unicorn was based on the Unix operating system and had a technical architecture based on APIs that proved to be a good foundation for long-term product development. By 2005 there were 1,512 libraries using Unicorn. Sirsi Corporation also continued to support for legacy ILS products DRA Classic, INLEX/3000, and MultiLIS, all of which were gained through its 2001 acquisition of Data Research Associates. Dynix supported three different ILS products. Its original Dynix Classic ILS, developed as a Pick application in 1983, had 768 installations in 2005. Horizon, the company’s client/server product introduced in 1993, had 1,503 installations in 2005. At the time of the merger, Dynix Classic was a legacy product with diminishing installations; Horizon was in a phase of steady growth. Given the aging technologies of its ILS products and their slant toward public libraries, Dynix launched a new development initiative in 2002 to create a new system branded as Corinthian based on a modern technology stack and with functionality design that would also support academic libraries. Figure 2.5 shows SirsiDynix’s development over time.

With the backing of a group of venture capital firms, Sirsi Corporation acquired Dynix in 2005 and since that time has been known as SirsiDynix (DBA). The company was led by Sirsi Corporation’s CEO Patrick Sommers. Following this transaction, Corinthian was positioned as the company’s next-generation product. For libraries using Horizon, Corinthian would be offered as its next major version. Unicorn would continue to be developed, and libraries using Dynix Classic would receive support.

Vista Equity Partners acquired SirsiDynix from its founders and investors in December 2006. Following the abrupt resignation of CEO Patrick Sommers in February 2007, Vista principal Martin Taylor was given interim leadership of the company. Two months following the close of the acquisition, SirsiDynix announced a dramatic change in product strategy. Unicorn was positioned as the single flagship product for the company, initially temporarily renamed Rome and eventually rebranded as Symphony. Development of Corinthian was terminated. The current version of Horizon would continue to be supported but would not be further enhanced. Libraries using any of the legacy products—Dynix Classic, DRA Classic, MultiLIS, and INLEX/3000—were encouraged to migrate to Symphony. Any libraries that had intended to purchase Corinthian were offered Symphony instead. Although Symphony was positioned as a new product, it was entirely based on the Unicorn codebase, with the intention of including features planned for Corinthian as future enhancements. This product strategy was consistent with the playbook used by Vista Equity Partners for its portfolio companies to reduce costs through rapid product consolidation with a preference for mature products rather than those still in development.

This aggressive product strategy was not well received by the library community. Although some libraries took advantage of the enticements to move to Symphony, many others moved away from the company’s products altogether. Figure 2.6 shows that, beginning in 2008, there was a surge of libraries moving away from Horizon. Only a few of these libraries opted for Symphony. Figure 2.7 shows only a small bump in the number of Symphony implementations in 2009. There were high numbers of defections away from Symphony at that time, which peaked in 2011 with 208 libraries moving to other products. The real beneficiaries of Vista’s aggressive product strategy for SirsiDynix were Polaris, which saw a peak of 222 new sales in 2008, and open source ILS alternatives such as Koha and Evergreen. The open source ILS movement was invigorated by this event, driven by a heightened sense of distrust in vendors and hope that moving away from proprietary software would mean protection from future business events. Koha and Evergreen have seen steady growth in the US public and academic sectors since that time.

SirsiDynix was eventually able to mitigate the damage done by this unfortunate episode in its corporate history. In 2013 the company launched a product strategy emphasizing both Horizon and Symphony as flagship ILS products that would be enhanced and developed. At this time SirsiDynix launched its BLUEcloud initiative, which would create a new platform to offer web-based interfaces that would work with both Symphony and Horizon. The BLUEcloud platform would be developed incrementally until it addressed all staff functionality. This new product strategy has been well accepted by its customers and has helped reinvigorate sales for SirsiDynix.

Graphs reflecting SirsiDynix satisfaction scores from the Library Automation Perceptions Survey are available on Library Technology Guides: https://librarytechnology.org/perceptions/2019/#symphony

The International Library Automation Perceptions Survey provides a measure of how libraries have reacted to the company through this series of events. Libraries gave quite low satisfaction scores to the company in 2007, scores that dropped further in 2008. Scores have improved steadily since and are now relatively high compared to the other major companies represented in the survey.
The aggressive move toward a single-product strategy that SirsiDynix attempted has proven to be a lesson for the broader library technology industry. It has demonstrated to other companies and investors that abrupt changes in products or drastic cost-saving measures will not be well tolerated by libraries. All the mergers and acquisitions that have transpired in the industry since have given high priority to the continuity of products in use by library customers.

The special library arena has a distinct set of requirements and is addressed by a set of companies different from those oriented to public and academic libraries. Special libraries have seen dramatic transformation, moving away from operating as traditional libraries with print collections to operating as information centers dealing primarily with electronic information. The consolidation in the corporate sector resulted in the elimination of many corporate and medical research libraries. The contraction in the special library sector translated into immense pressure on the companies reliant on sales to these institutions. Left in weakened positions, many of the companies specializing in special libraries consolidated into Lucidea. Previously known as SydneyPLUS, Lucidea has acquired most of its prior competitors, including Inmagic (2012), Cuadra Associates (2008), Eloquent Systems (2017), and Maxus (2018). Lucidea also acquired other companies offering document management and other technologies oriented to law firm libraries, including LookUp Precision (2010), Questor Systems (2010), LawPort (2007), and Insight Software (2003). Figure 2.8 shows Lucidea’s development over time.

In the context of Lucidea’s consolidated position, a few other companies remain in the competition. Soutron Global was established in 2012 by Tony

Sources for the path of Sirsi and Dynix include company press releases and my coverage in Smart Libraries Newsletter at the time of the merger:
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Saadat in partnership with UK-based Soutron Limited. The EOS.Web ILS, created by EOS International, was acquired by SirsiDynix in November 2014. This web-based product was designed for special libraries and smaller academics.

The Library Corporation

A pioneering company in library automation, the Library Corporation has been creating and supporting library technology products and services since its founding in 1974. The company has remained under the management and ownership of its cofounder Annette Harwood Murphy. Over the course of its business history, TLC has offered a variety of products and services, mostly oriented to public and school libraries. Early products included MARC record databases and interfaces that continue today through its eBiblioFile service to provide catalog records for e-book collections and ITS.MARC as a general bibliographic and authority record service. TLC created the Library. Solution integrated library system for public libraries and school districts. It acquired the Carl ILS in 2000, which it has continually enhanced and redeveloped into its Carl.X and Carl.Connect product family designed primarily for large public libraries and consortia. The Library Corporation also acquired TechLogic, a mid-level competitor in the library RFID and automated material handling sector, in 2005. The company has also launched a SmartTECH line of audiovisual products, computer peripherals, and products for library makerspaces and outreach programs.

The Library Corporation plays an interesting part in the history of the library technology industry. It has navigated through a succession of technology cycles and business trends, creating relevant products during each phase. TLC is currently the company with the longest run of founder ownership and management. The company has grown both through organic sales of its own products and relatively minor forays into business acquisitions.

Small and Midsize Competitors

Several companies continue to find good business opportunities at a smaller scale than the industry giants. They operate at lower levels of revenue, have limited capacity for development, but provide products and services valued by their library customers. Dozens of companies that operate mainly in the United States fall within this tier of the industry, and hundreds operate globally. Although even in aggregate these companies represent a relatively small
portion of the total economic activity of the library technology industry, each fills a defined niche and contributes to the competitive dynamics.

Many smaller companies specialize in providing ILS products for smaller libraries. Their products are relatively inexpensive and appeal to libraries with limited budgets, including very small academics, small publics, and schools. Examples in this category companies include

- **Book Systems**, offering the web-based Atrium ILS and its predecessor, Concourse.
- **COMPanion**, offering the Alexandria ILS used primarily in schools and small public libraries.
- **Biblionix**, offering the web-based Apollo ILS developed specifically for small to midsize public libraries.
- **Keystone Systems**, addressing the niche market of technology products for libraries serving persons with visual disabilities.
- **TIND**, a relatively new company established in 2015, that offers the TIND ILS, as well as institutional repository and resource data management applications. In the United States, TIND’s products have been implemented by a handful of academic libraries, including academic law and medical libraries.
- **Media Flex**, which has developed the open source OPALS ILS used by schools and school districts. It has also been implemented in small public libraries, churches and synagogues, a few small academics, and a variety of organizations.

This tier of small companies plays an important role in the library technology industry. These organizations offer smaller or specialized libraries core technology products at affordable prices. The pricing of products from the larger players scale according to the size and complexity of a library but notoriously do not scale down to levels affordable by small libraries. These smaller companies can offer products with interfaces and functionality appropriate for libraries with less complex needs and boutique services. The large companies generally are not drawn to the modest revenues associated with serving smaller libraries.

**Business Integration Following an Acquisition**

Mergers or acquisitions result in some consistent patterns in personnel involved in the companies involved. The combined workforce inevitably contracts. The reduction of personnel costs is part of the business efficiencies expected in an acquisition. Business integration usually involves consolidation across all levels of the respective companies and is usually implemented over a lengthy time frame to ensure continuity of operations and services. Gentle, gradual transitions are especially important in the library industry, where abrupt changes could weaken the loyalty of customers and lead to defections to competing alternatives.

The first phase of integration generally happens at the executive level. A board of directors representing the interests of previous owners or investors will be disbanded. In cases where some residual ownership stakes remain, there may be representation on the board of the acquiring company. The top-level executive team of the acquired company will usually be phased out. In the case of an acquisition by a private equity firm or other investment group, the appointment of a chief financial officer usually takes place quickly to manage the finances of the acquired organization in alignment with the interests of the new owners. Strategic leaders may join the executive team of the acquiring organization or may be retained in a consulting capacity to facilitate business integration. In some cases, executives of the acquired company may end up leading the acquiring organization. One example is the appointment of Matti Shem Tov, who had led Ex Libris, as the president and CEO of ProQuest.

Another early move usually involves administrative personal and systems. Moving to common financial and human resource systems, as well as administering the combined company through a single set of administrative personnel, reduces costs and unifies the combined company into shared business processes and planning.

Marketing and sales forces also usually combine, but at a somewhat later phase. It takes some time to integrate new products into marketing strategies and to cross-train personnel. In many cases an acquired product gains a wider potential customer base through an established national or global sales force.

When companies combine, library customers of all products involved expect support and development to continue at levels at least as effective as before. Companies also see benefits as they continue development and support of acquired products because perceived diminishment of customer support levels can drive defections of customers and stalled development will hamper future sales. Companies usually blend business processes and customer relationship management as they work toward streamlined support channels. Development teams may also eventually combine to share tools or technical infrastructure.

Given the major savings in executive, administrative, and marketing functions, library technology companies can continue support and development of all incumbent products and still see substantial savings in operational costs. Only the most aggressive business strategies would target support or abruptly eliminate any incumbent products.
Opportunities for Product Innovation

The mergers of companies can provide an opportunity for creating new generation products. In some cases, all the products of the respective companies fall toward the end of their development cycle. This scenario presents multiple options for development strategy. The approach with lower costs focuses on expanding or modernizing one of the incumbent products. Though lower in risk and costs, such a strategy offers less long-term benefit. The viable life cycle of the product can be extended in the short term, but it can be extremely difficult to enhance a legacy product to meet long-term expectations that libraries will have for functionality and to reengineer its technology underpinnings to thrive in the modern information ecosystem.

The alternative strategy centers on development of a new product independent of the incumbent systems. New greenfield development avoids the complexities of modernizing obsolete technical components, including outdated programming languages, database technologies, or other frameworks not well suited for modern applications. This development strategy requires substantial investment but may also be less expensive than the work needed to modernize obsolete products.

The continuation of development of legacy systems not only benefits library customers, but also represents a profitable business model. Legacy products have mature functionality, require fewer new enhancements, and operate on stable technology. Any development needed to address security and interoperability issues or even to add new features incurs relatively low costs. The remnant customer installations, which in many cases are quite large, represent substantial revenue.

Maintaining and developing legacy products represents a very profitable business model in the short term. In the longer term, such a strategy fails to provide the company with products that may be viable in successive sales cycles. If the company’s business plan focuses only on a short-term ownership cycle of five to seven years, which is typical for private equity investors, strategies based on extending the life of legacy products can be appealing. Corporate strategies concerned with long-term growth will create product road maps that extend decades into the future and that incorporate multiple rounds of investment in new products and technologies unconstrained by legacy systems.

The consolidation of the library technology industry yields two opposing dynamics. It narrows the choices available to libraries as they consider new products and technology providers. But consolidation also concentrates resources into a small set of organizations at levels able to create sophisticated products and services.

Product and Vendor Choices Narrow

Industry consolidation, through ongoing rounds of mergers and acquisitions, has narrowed the field of options available to libraries as they seek new technology products. Different dynamics apply to providers versus products. The concerns over uncomfortably narrow options among vendors are mitigated somewhat by the persistence of products. Many products have endured in the industry though multiple business acquisitions and often past the expected life span of their underlying technologies or the suitability of their functionality.

Library procurement processes assess not only the capabilities of each product under consideration, but also the capacity and reliability of its provider. The quality of support provided for a technology product plays a major role in evaluating potential products. Libraries also ask vendors to provide evidence that they are financially stable, have a positive track record of working with libraries of similar profile, and have the vision and capacity to enhance and evolve their products into the distant future. Since libraries tend to retain their strategic technology products for multiple decades, business disruptions or unexpected product transitions that transpire within these long periods represent major disturbances.

The number of vendors in the library technology industry has contracted steadily through mergers and acquisitions. The organizational charts (figures 2.1–2.5 and 2.8) document the absorption of dozens of antecedent companies into larger entities.

The contraction of products has followed a gentler trajectory. In almost all cases the products of a company continue to see similar levels of support and development following the acquisition of the associated vendor. The following section describes the business advantages of product continuity.

In the context of the tendency for product continuity through industry consolidation, several scenarios apply. Flagship products, aging legacy products, and development initiatives have seen different rates of survival through industry consolidation.

Flagship Products

Well-established strategic products with active pathways of product enhancements that remain in active sales cycles usually survive and prosper through business transitions. In recent times, examples include the following:

• Symphony and Horizon: Following an initial period of faltering, both incumbent flagship ILS products continue to be supported and developed. The BLUEcloud platform provided equivalent support for both. Only Symphony continues to see
new sales. Horizon installations have declined.

- **Voyager**: Following its acquisition from Elsevier, Voyager has continued to see active enhancement and support by Ex Libris. Voyager, along with Ex Libris’s own Aleph ILS, continues to see use by some of the world’s largest libraries. Given the general neglect of Voyager under Elsevier, its life span was extended under the stewardship of Ex Libris.

- **Polaris**: Acquired by Innovative in 2014, Polaris continues to see active development and sales. Innovative has continued the advancement of LEAP, a set of web-based interfaces for Polaris. In recent months, Innovative has announced that Polaris will be marketed internationally, expanding beyond the bounds of the US and Canada, which previously had been its exclusive markets. 4

- **bicatWise**: Acquired by OCLC from HKA in 2013, this product has become OCLC’s strategic offering to public libraries. The product was already well established in the Netherlands, and OCLC began marketing WISE to public libraries in the United States, emphasizing its distinctive capabilities for patron engagement services. 5

- **Carl.X**: Carl, a system designed for large public libraries and consortia, was acquired by the Library Corporation in 2005. 6 Since this acquisition, TLC has not only continued to support the product, but has substantially redeveloped its technical underpinnings, and Carl is the basis of TLC’s Carl.X and Carl.Connect products, which it continues to sell to large public libraries.

Another formerly flagship ILS did not see much further attention following the acquisition of its associated company. Virtua, an integrated library system developed by VTLS, has not thrived since it was acquired by Innovative Interfaces. Although it continues to see active use in major public libraries such as the Queens Borough Public Library in New York City and in the Hong Kong Public Libraries, it has not been actively marketed by Innovative and its installations are rapidly declining.

In broad terms, we can observe that flagship products have strong survival rates following an acquisition. In most cases, it seems that these products endure as long as they would have under the antecedent vendor.

The survival of a product through a merger does not mean that the libraries using it will appreciate the change. In situations where the acquired vendor was not providing good support or was neglecting product development, the customer libraries may see the acquisition as a positive move. The perception can be more negative when the incumbent vendor was well regarded and the acquiring entity brings uncertainty. If a library selects product A over product B and the vendor for product B subsequently acquires product A, it may resent having to work with the vendor it specifically rejected. Through any of these scenarios, vendors must deliver positive performance to earn the trust of customers brought into their fold through a business acquisition.

### Legacy Products Now Extinct

Legacy products may see an accelerated course toward extinction following a corporate acquisition. Independent of any business transitions, the number of installations of these products is declining and they are not actively sold. In the context of a business transition, the new owners may have less interest in the continuity of legacy products. The ongoing revenue and low support costs associated with legacy products make ongoing retention of the libraries using these products important and represent opportunities for migration to current product lines. Following a business transition, libraries using legacy products may be offered attractive incentives to accelerate their migrations to new products. Regardless of circumstances, some libraries continue to use ILS products well beyond official terms of support. For example:

- **NOTIS**, acquired by Ameritech in 1990. The demise of NOTIS was inevitable since it ran on IBM mainframe computers, which fell out of use as they were displaced by smaller servers with more computing capability and substantially less cost.

- **Dynix Classic**, acquired by Ameritech in 1992. Ameritech supported its ongoing maintenance but also developed the Horizon ILS based on current client/server architectures. Dynix operated on the once-popular Pick operating and database environment, which was displaced over time by the Unix operating system and relational databases.

- **Atlas (or DRA Classic)**, the original ILS developed by Data Research Associates. DRA initiated the development of Taos to eventually succeed this legacy ILS that operated on the VAX/VMS operating environment, which was falling out of use.

- **MultiLIS**, originally developed by Sobeco Group and operated on VAX/VMS or Unix-based computers. It was widely implemented in libraries in French-speaking regions, including Quebec and France, though it eventually saw implementations throughout the United States. MultiLIS was acquired by Data Research Associates in 1994.

In the context of business consolidation, it is important to consider that these legacy products would have fallen out of use regardless. These products were unable to endure due to reliance on obsolete
technical underpinnings, outdated functionality, or general lack of attention. Circumstances vary whether their demise would transpire more rapidly under a weakened independent company or within the portfolio of a larger consolidated entity. ILS products have a limited life expectancy. Industry consolidation may be only a partial factor in the demise of legacy products.

Development Initiatives

Products still in the development phase have not fared well through business transitions. The failures of these initiatives can be attributed to multiple factors. Some may be due to a simple lack of interest by the acquiring company in taking on an additional development initiative beyond the ones already underway within its organization. In other cases, the vision of the product or the technologies employed may have been deemed unworthy of ongoing investment.

Intota: ProQuest had begun an ambitious initiative to develop a new library services platform to compete with existing products such as Ex Libris Alma and OCLC WorldShare Management Services. This initiative proceeded in phases, with Intota Analytics as the first deliverable. The acquisition of Ex Libris essentially meant the demise of Intota. ProQuest ultimately chose to buy its way into the academic library technology sphere rather than complete its internal development agenda. Some libraries had implemented Intota Analytics, which continues to be supported by Ex Libris even though the full Intota library services platform was abandoned.

Corinthian: Dynix was well along in the development of Corinthian, also branded Horizon 8, at the time that the company was acquired by Sirsi Corporation. Although Corinthian was initially positioned as the strategic new generation platform for the combined company, the product was abruptly terminated once SirsiDynix was purchased by Vista Equity Partners. Corinthian showed considerable potential and was implemented in a small number of libraries. Its demise can be directly attributed to business decisions as part of a business acquisition. (See section SirsiDynix: Recovery from a Rough Business Transition for more details.)

Inspire: Innovative Interfaces had begun the development of a new generation platform branded as Inspire. This project was in the early development stage when Innovative was acquired by ProQuest. No libraries had placed Inspire Discovery or other modules of the product into production use. Initial messaging following the acquisition pointed to the withdrawal of Inspire in favor of a new technology platform to be created with the support of Ex Libris. The planned business integration of Innovative with Ex Libris and ProQuest has been paused pending the completion of a review of the acquisition by the FTC. In the coming months, the fate of Inspire or other development initiatives may be clarified.


Taos: Stepping a bit further back into the history of the library technology industry, we can see Taos, a new product developed by Data Research Associates beginning in about 1995. Taos was intended to be the successor to its legacy DRA ILS and to the MultiLIS and INLEX/3000 products previously acquired. Taos was designed to follow the client/server architecture and to incorporate object relational database technologies. Although client/server products were considered progressive at the time, interest in object relational databases was short-lived. The future of Taos came into question when Data Research Associates was acquired by Sirsi Corporation in 2001. Taos had been installed in production in several libraries but faltered in key sites such as the UCLA libraries. Despite initial messaging that Taos development would continue under Sirsi Corporation, by December 2001 a decision was announced that the company would focus its development solely on Unicorn because significant additional development would be needed to achieve even basic ILS functionality. The demise of Taos came as a result of a combination of factors, including delays in development and unproven technology components, as well as pragmatic business decisions. Given many setbacks and challenges, it is difficult to assess whether Taos would have survived apart from the business decisions associated with the acquisition by Sirsi Corporation.

Source of Information on Taos

NOTIS Horizon: Horizon was a client/server development initiative initiated by NOTIS Systems in response to the increasing obsolescence of the mainframes on which its NOTIS library management system operated. This project was underway when NOTIS Systems was acquired by Ameritech. Dynix, also acquired by Ameritech, was developing its own client/server system known as Marquis. Shortly after the acquisition of both companies, Ameritech terminated the development of NOTIS Horizon and renamed Marquis to Horizon. This series of complex events was one...
of the earliest examples of a development initiative falling victim to a corporate acquisition.7

Competitive Dynamics

The ongoing rounds of mergers and acquisitions have made an impact on the options for core technology products for libraries in each sector. In the absence of business acquisitions, more products options may be available, but would there be more innovation? Without the formation of larger companies through consolidation, it seems less likely that there would have been the capacity to develop sophisticated products like the library services platforms that have seen wide adoption among academic libraries. The fragmented library technology environment yielded many different brands of integrated library systems but failed to develop new types of products to provide technology support in novel ways. During earlier periods, even the newer generation products that emerged were based on the model of functionality of the traditional ILS, though based on newer technical architectures and components (Taos, Virtua, Corinthian).

The competitive arena today, even in the context of a highly consolidated business environment, remains highly competitive, including products with meaningful distinctions. For academic libraries, current competitive options include the following:

- **Ex Libris Alma**, a library services platform based on proprietary software that has seen by far the highest commercial success. Alma emerged from a consolidated company, with major financial support from its investors. Aggressive development continues since its acquisition by ProQuest, including the creation of multiple follow-on products.
- **OCLC WorldShare Management Services**, which offers functionality and design similar to Alma’s, though with meaningful differences relative to its inherent integration with the massive WorldCat bibliographic database. Although Alma and WMS fall within the same product category, they also embody substantial differences in functionality and vendor considerations.
- **FOLIO**, an open source library services platform initially launched with financial support from EBSCO Information Services and other stakeholders, such as the Open Library Environment and Index Data. Following a four-year development phase, FOLIO recently entered a cycle of production use by early adopters. Commercial support services for FOLIO are offered by EBSCO Information Services, Index Data, and ByWater Solutions in the United States as well as by many other vendors internationally.
- **Koha**, currently a viable alternative for academic libraries in the United States, especially with support arrangements from ByWater Solutions. In addition to adoption by large academic libraries such as Virginia Tech University, Koha with ByWater support continues to see inroads among midsize and smaller institutions.

Differing Trends by Library Type

The consolidation of the competitive environment in the library technology industry impacts each of its sectors differently. Each sector, generally defined by the types of library served, has followed distinct trends in the products adopted and in the business environment. These sectors overlapped more in previous phases of the industry. The overlap has diminished as each library sector diverged in terms of the characteristics of its collections and the types of services offered.

The products receiving active attention for new procurements carry the most weight when analyzing the competitive environment of each sector. It is especially important to consider the viable options available as libraries seek new systems and the relative selection rates.

The overall base of installed systems represents the outcome of previous competitive cycles. Some products that were successful previously may be legacy systems today. A product with a residual set of installations in a given sector but few new sales cannot necessarily be considered a top competitor for new selections. Products with decreasing numbers of installations and few new procurement selections should be considered legacy products and not strategic competitors. It is possible for a system to have the characteristics of a legacy product in one sector and of an active competitor in another.

Current Competition in the Academic Library Sector

Ex Libris Alma is currently the most successful product by far in the academic library sector. The product is on a progressive ten-year sales cycle where it has consistently won most new procurements. Alma was designed and developed specifically for academic and research libraries and offers very sophisticated functionality. Almost all libraries implementing Alma are affiliated with higher educational institutions or are national libraries.

OCLC WorldShare Management Services ranks as a secondary contender in the academic library sector. Although its volume of sales falls below Alma’s, this product also has functionality well suited for
academic libraries. Although it was originally offered to all types of libraries, in recent years sales have been made mostly to academic and national libraries. Many of the public libraries in the United States that originally adopted WMS have since moved to other products.

Sierra from Innovative continues to have a substantial installed base in academic libraries in the United States but makes few new sales in this sector. Some libraries remaining on Millennium ILS have upgraded to Sierra.

Koha, especially when supported by ByWater Solutions, has made substantial inroads into the US academic library market. In addition to a growing number of small and midsize academic libraries, ARL member Virginia Tech University has implemented Koha with hosting and support from ByWater Solutions.

The open source FOLIO library services platform has become the newest competitor among academic libraries. This product has recently moved beyond its initial development phase into early-stage implementations. Although the number of implementations remains small, it has generated strong interest and will be one of the main competitors going forward for academic libraries seeking to replace legacy ILS products.

**Market Volume Analysis**

The number of libraries selecting new systems has seen variation over the last two decades. Factors driving the number of libraries seeking new systems include the inability of the incumbent product to meet a library's operational requirements, the availability of systems perceived to offer improved support, and budget constraints. The total number of procurements made each year provides a basic measure, which can be placed into perspective by considering this number in proportion to the total number of libraries in the group.

The market volume among US public libraries can be calculated using data from Library Technology Guides and is shown in table 2.3. The line graph in figure 2.9 shows a rising sales volume between 2000, which reached its zenith in 2011. There was a sharp fall in new sales in 2007, which we attribute to the recession in that year, which negatively impacted library budgets. Since 2011 the number of procurement projects has declined steadily.

In the US public library sector, the turnover percentage—that is, the percent of libraries acquiring a new system relative to the total number of libraries—has ranged from a high of 8 percent in 2011 to a low of 2 percent in 2017. To smooth the trend lines, percentages have also been calculated as an average of adjacent years. This approach adjusts for large fluctuations due to variations in the timing of contract dates.

The volume of contracts per year among US academic libraries, shown in table 2.4, follows trends similar to those of US public libraries. There was more of a steady decline in contracts leading to the recession in 2008 followed by a rapid increase through 2011 (figure 2.10). The number of new contracts has declined since that year.

The turnover percentage has been substantially higher in the US academic library sector compared to that of publics, ranging from a low of 14 percent in 2011 to a high of 31 percent in 2011.

The similarity in the trend lines of procurement volume for academic and public libraries can be attributed to the general economic environment that impacted both sectors. The recession of 2007–08 caused many libraries to defer the procurement of systems; in subsequent years, budgets became available to address pent-up needs.

The most striking difference in the procurement statistics between US public and academic libraries is the turnover percentages. A much higher proportion of academic libraries enter into procurement projects. This higher percentage can be attributed to the dynamic where they are not well served by their incumbent systems and replacement products are available with the potential to meet their requirements. The transition from legacy ILS products to new library services platforms, especially Alma and WorldShare Management Services, has been pervasive among US academic libraries. FOLIO likewise fits within this dynamic.

Among US public libraries, much of the activity has been lateral moves from one ILS to another with similar capabilities. So far, new products for public libraries with dramatically improved capabilities have not emerged. OCLC Wise and Axiell Quria have been positioned as transformational products but have not yet seen a significant market impact.

The declining number of opportunities in both the public and academic library sectors in the US is not good news for the vendors. The current pandemic crisis and associated economic decline will mean a further diminishment of new system procurements by
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US public and academic libraries. A rapid economic recovery in combination with the availability of systems deemed able to deliver operational improvements could lead to an increase in opportunities, if the trends following the previous recession apply to the current scenario.

Updated graphs on number of contracts per year are available on Library Technology Guides: https://librarytechnology.org/products/procurements/

**Dominance versus Monopolies**

Libraries would not likely tolerate an industry with only a single provider, whether for the entire market or for any given subsector. Nor would a monopoly be consistent with the regulatory framework in the United States. Innovation and pricing can suffer if the position of any single company stands unchecked through competitive forces.

Some companies in the library technology industry have gained a dominant position within their sector through business acquisition and organic growth. Follett’s Destiny ILS has gained a market share of at least 70 percent among US public school libraries. Through it is more difficult to calculate its market share, Lucidea holds a strong position among special libraries, especially those in corporate or legal settings. ProQuest leads the academic and research library sector with its Alma library services platform and Aleph and Voyager ILS products. The recent acquisition of Innovative and its Sierra ILS extends that lead. No single vendor dominates among public libraries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contracts</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turnover</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg Contracts</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg Turnover</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contracts</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turnover</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg Contracts</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg Turnover</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data on product installations does not reveal a monopoly in any of the library sectors. Selection tools in Library Technology Guides provide the means to assess the market share of products within library sectors. Though the completeness of the data varies, information on installations among US public and academic libraries is complete enough for detailed analysis. In the US academic library sector, Alma holds a 32.6 percent market share overall, though it is more dominant among specific subsectors, such as the members of the Association of Research Libraries (59.7 percent). When considering the market share for ProQuest across products, it holds a 50.4 percent market share overall, 83.9 percent among ARL members, 85 percent among libraries with large collections over one million volumes, and 47 percent for libraries with midsize collections (20,000 to 200,000 volumes). Among all US public libraries, market share is more equally divided, with 16 percent using Symphony, 12 percent on Polaris, 12 percent on Sierra, 5 percent using Library.Solution, 6 percent using Koha, and a long list of other products. Among the members of the Urban Library Council, 29 percent use Symphony, 27 percent Sierra, 21 percent Polaris, 7 percent Horizon, and 4 percent Carl.X.

Even among library types with a dominant vendor, significant competition remains. In the US academic sector, Alma sees competition from OCLC WorldShare, from Koha with support from ByWater Solutions, and from FOLIO. TLC's Library.Solution for Schools, Alexandria, Book Systems Atrium, and OPALS give options in the K–12 school arena in addition to Follett's Destiny. The public library sector remains fragmented with no single dominant system or product. Internationally, local vendors in each country or region provide competition to the global players.

Notes


Table 2.4
Annual contracts for US academic libraries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contracts</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turnover</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg Contracts</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg Turnover</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>