aligns with contemporary attitudes toward collection development that acknowledge patrons are the best at choosing what titles other patrons will use, not librarians. In other words, e-books that are used enough to reach auto-purchase are usually titles that will receive additional use and justify their cost.

Traditionally libraries have been supplemented gaps in their collections and met patron demands through interlibrary loan (ILL). Though e-books are not easily adaptable to this service, pioneering libraries are developing creative solutions to ILL’s limitations. Orbis Cascade Alliance, written about by Carlisle Fountain in “E-Books Across the Consortium: Reflections and Lessons From a Three-Year DDA Experiment at the Orbis Cascade Alliance,” uses a DDA program for e-books with the stated goal of increasing consortial ownership of titles deemed useful by patrons as demonstrated by high use. Members contribute to a central fund, and the auto-purchase trigger is easily adjusted to accommodate different budgets. Oceam’s Reader, a collaborative project described by Litsey et al. in “The Simplest Explanation: Oceam’s Reader and the Future of Interlibrary Loan and E-Books,” enables the lending of e-book content through protected file sharing and is easily integrated into the standard ILL workflow.

From the user perspective, there is general consensus around the value of e-books: they are great for searching, discovery and quick reference but are less ideal for sustained scholarly use. As Clark states in “A Social Scientist Uses E-Books for Research and in the Classroom,” many people think print books are just a better “cognitive fit” (202). Technical issues and inconsistency across platforms endemic to e-books frustrate users that expect them to have the same conveniences as electronic journal articles. “Some of the drawbacks are practical issues that are likely to diminish as e-book technology adapts to suit the humans who use it. For now, humans must adapt to e-books to use them effectively” (195). A general lack of awareness of e-books is an additional barrier to use, and here the onus falls on librarians to promote e-book collections and ensure that they are properly integrated into discovery systems. Thomas and Chilton emphasize this point in “Library E-Book Platforms Are Broken: Let’s Fix Them,” writing “when libraries purchase content encased in poor interfaces and behind artificial barriers, it is a form of censorship” (261).

The case studies concluding the anthology demonstrate that in most cases, a shift to digital-only acquisitions will not fully satisfy user preferences and needs. Through “access acquisition,” Harvard’s Widener Library often acquires the same material in both print and electronic formats. In “Of Euripeded and E-Books: The Digital Future and Our Hybrid Present,” Uziel, Esser, and Connor Sullivan write “print and e-book preferences can overlap depending on a user’s research activities, and how e-book collections supplement rather than supplant print ones. This is particularly important for traveling scholars and institutions with research centers or libraries elsewhere” (284). Additionally, publishers need to make a larger percentage of scholarly titles available as e-books for an all-electronic acquisition program to ever work. This is imperitive with the rise in distance education programs. In “E-Books and a Distance Education Program: A Library’s Failure Rate in Supplying Course Readings for One Program,” Nixon shows that her library was unable to meet students demand for course readings with their electronic holding because more than half the books needed were not even available for purchase in the digital format.

**Academic E-Books** is a solid introduction to the history and evolution of e-books in academic libraries. It is well organized and the different perspectives of publishers, libraries and users give a holistic picture of the challenges and opportunities e-books present. Though the anthology was published in 2016, many of the papers are older and describe technologies that have likely evolved to be more responsive. Regardless, practitioners will relate to many of the contributors’ findings and will be inspired by their questioning and creative problem-solving.—**Anna Pinks** (anna.pinks@greensboro.edu), Greensboro College, Greensboro, North Carolina


In a rapidly changing information environment, where resources are also scarce, increasingly memory institutions meet strategic goals by means of project-based work. *Project Management for Information Professionals* reaches out to accidental project managers working in libraries, archives, or museums (LAMs)—people who are asked to lead projects without formal project management training due to their competence, experience, and ability to win others over to their cause. For those tasked with leading critical projects, such as installation of compact shelving or a large-scale collaborative digitization effort, Note distills project management techniques more common in the for-profit industry but just as relevant in a cultural heritage context into a pithy handbook accessible to information professionals.

Throughout the book, Note emphasizes that project management techniques are not “burdensome techniques to be performed because some projects require it,” but instead “a way of thinking, communicating, and executing” (xx). She situates principles of project management within actions that are roughly sequential, from inception through implementation to conclusion. Chapters are organized by skills that are demanded of project managers throughout the life of a project: selection and prioritization, leading and
managing teams, planning and scheduling, budgeting and performance, and communication and review. As the organization of chapters suggests, in addition to technical skills and a modicum of subject expertise, project managers possess considerable soft skills. In her conclusion, Note observes with characteristic concision that “project managers bring chaos to order and blurred vision to clear reality.” (167)

A book whose primary goals are summary and translation of project management methodologies from industry to memory institutions, *Project Management for Information Professionals* squarely achieves its objectives. Terms often expressed as acronyms in the business world (IRR, SMART, MoSCoW) are spelled out literally and conceptually (internal rate of return; specific, measurable, accurate, realistic, and timebound; must, should, could, will not). A thorough glossary also helps readers to grasp and retain unfamiliar terminology.

Clearly delineated contrasts also aid the work of translating project management into a cultural heritage context. Whereas in the for-profit world, project managers are known by that title, in LAMs many people lead projects that draw on their expertise but take place outside of their daily responsibilities—for example, a director of technical services leading a task force to identify and implement a new ILS. Another common difference between project management in the business world and in the cultural heritage world is a project’s motivation: rather than generating revenue, the purpose of project in a library, archive, or museum is more likely to be improving services or reducing costs, outcomes that require different metrics to demonstrate. Note deftly draws these distinctions while underscoring the applicability of project management techniques to industry and cultural heritage, large and small projects alike.

The book does not necessitate linear reading to derive value from the reading experience. Each chapter is preceded by apt epigrams ranging from lyric to comic. For example, Chapter 6 on “Communication and Documentation,” opens with the observations that “much unhappiness has come into the world becomes of bewilderment and things left unsaid” (Dostoevsky) and that “The single biggest problem in communication is the illusion that it has taken place” (George Bernard Shaw). These delightful epigrams invite readers to follow their curiosity, finding a starting place wherever their attention is captured. By the same token, trenchant figures that summarize crucial concepts, and appendixes that articulate key questions and provide document templates, facilitate quick reference in the midst of a project.

Throughout, project management concepts or techniques are often illustrated using a LAM example. For instance, Note points to installing shelving before shifting collections as an example of a mandatory dependency (81). However, these illustrations tend to be parenthetical.

More vivid, in-depth explorations of project management principles at work in LAM contexts would bolster her case that individuals and organizations ought to adopt a project management approach. For example, in addition to enumerating elicitation techniques, Section 2.4 on “Gathering Requirements” might also have provided sample responses to structured stakeholder interviews, drawn from Note’s extensive experience, and paired these responses with a discussion of how what was elicited reframed project goals and better positioned the project to succeed. Without impact stories punctuating deep summary of project management methodologies, even the crispest prose becomes difficult to penetrate, except to search for the answer to a specific question.

Though dry on occasion, as a whole *Project Management for Information Professionals* is clearly envisioned and executed as a handbook for librarians, archivists, and curators who find themselves leading project-based work. By empowering individual information professionals to manage projects more effectively, this work may play a part in shifting the organizational culture of memory institutions: from taking a defensive stance within an information environment in constant flux, to embracing project-based work as a way for libraries, archives, and museums to learn and grow and vitally engage the communities they serve.

Note is the consummate project manager, and it shows in her handbook of project management for information professionals. This book knows what it is, and what it is not; it remains true to its project scope. It achieves its objectives, and delivers what it promises to its readers. Novice project managers will keep it close at hand; more experienced project managers will consult it when they feel themselves becoming stuck and will look back at past projects with a sharper eye for what they might do better.—*Chelcie Juliet Rowell (rowellej@wfu.edu), Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, North Carolina*

**Maximizing Electronic Resources Management in Libraries: Applying Business Process Management.**


One of the themes emphasized throughout Maximizing Electronic Resources Management in Libraries is that Electronic Resource Management (ERM) is a form of knowledge work that is complex, patchworked and often does not lend itself to routine. Expertise in the subject is gained over time and through the experience of grappling with different issues and solving a variety of problems. The other theme is effective organization of electronic resources is critical to the success of libraries and to the institutions of which they are a part (1, 9).

These concepts may not seem like breaking news, but it is nice to see them in print—clear and unambiguous. More